

Docket No.: 210165US2



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/893,685  
Applicant: Noboru ASAUCHI  
Filing Date: June 29, 2001  
For: ACCESS TO PRINTING MATERIAL  
CONTAINER  
Group Art Unit: 2624  
Examiner: POON, K. Y.



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER  
(703) 413-3000  
GMAIER@OBLON.COM

CHRISTOPHER D. WARD  
SENIOR ASSOCIATE  
(703) 413-3000  
CWARD@OBLON.COM

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

### RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier


Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

**22850**

(703) 413-3000 (phone)  
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Christopher D. Ward  
Registration No. 41,367



DOCKET NO.: 210165US2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

Noboru ASAUCHI

: EXAMINER: POON, K. Y.

SERIAL NO: 09/893,685

:

FILED: June 29, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2624

FOR: ACCESS TO PRINTING  
MATERIAL CONTAINER

:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated November 2, 2005, the Applicant elects with traverse the invention of Group I corresponding to Claims 1-11, 19-28, 38-43, 50-69, and 76.

The Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement based on MPEP § 803, which states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully traverses the outstanding restriction requirement on


Application Serial No.: 09/893,685  
Response to Restriction Requirement dated November 2, 2005

the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single invention be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-76 be conducted.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier  
Registration No. 25,599  
Attorney of Record

Christopher D. Ward  
Registration No. 41,367

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel. (703) 413-3000  
Fax. (703) 413-2220  
(OSMMN 10/01)

GJM:CDW:brf

I:\atty\cdw\21xxxx\210165US2\Response to Restriction Requirement.doc